



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,985	10/13/2004	Akihiko.Yanaga	2004 1613A	2208
513	7590	08/22/2007	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			FLORY, CHRISTOPHER A	
2033 K STREET N. W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			3762	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

M14

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/510,985	YANAGI, AKIHIKO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher A. Flory	3762	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.

13. Other: _____.

/George Manuel/
 Primary Examiner
 Art Unit 3762

caf

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101. Claims 1-3 and 7-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Granzotto et al. (US Patent 6,757,392). Claims 4-6, 10 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Granzotto et al. or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Granzotto et al. in view of Reinhold, Jr. (US Patent 5,339,823) for the reasons made of record in the Office Action filed 3 May 2007.

Applicant argues that the §101 rejection is improper because the article "the" is used to connote that the invention is being used with respect to the same subject. It is maintained that the article "the" results in a claiming of the subject, strengthened by the Applicant's argument that the invention is being used with respect to one and only one specific patient. Changing the article "the" to "a" would in no way confuse the understanding that the invention being claimed is being practiced on a single patient..

Applicant argues that the limitations being rejected under §102(b) based on intended use is clearly incorrect citing §112, sixth paragraph means-plus-function language. However, it is noted that §112, sixth paragraph is not properly invoked by the current claim language since the recitation of "a switching means..." lends sufficient recitation of structure and acts in support thereof to render such an invocation or arguments based thereon moot.

Regarding the remainder of the Applicant's arguments, it is noted that the arguments regarding the merits of the rejections made under §102(b) and §103(a) have been carried over from the response prior to the Final Office Action from 3 May 2007 even though the grounds of rejection contained therein were changed based on the previous amendment. Therefore, these arguments are considered moot since they fail to address the new portions of the prior art cited in the Final Office Action..